

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		SERIAL NUMBER	R FILING DATE	T	FIRST NAMED	APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET	NO.
!	06/	576 <b>×84</b> 9 00	2/03/84	CHUBB		7.	с и	1034	
		_				_			
		ERT P. SABO ENT DEPT.	ATH			l BL	UM , T	EXAMINER	
	UNI	TED TECHNO	LOGIES CORP	•			ABTUME	PAPER NUMBE	
1	HAF	CTFORD, CT	06101				222	PAPER NOMBE	H
						i	DATE MAULED	THE PERSON	
							DATE MAILEDA	MILED	
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.  COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS								we as the tay	
		Co	OMMISSIONER OF P	ATENTS AND TRA	DEMARKS		1_	,25 <sub>1986</sub>	
A sho	ortene		r response to this action	· ·	$\mathcal{L}_{month(s)}$	d <sub>a</sub>	This a	1	
Failu	re to	respond within the pe	eriod for response will	cause the applicati	on to become aba	indoned. 35	U.S.C. 133		
Part I		THE FOLLOWING A	ATTACHMENT(S) ARE	PART OF THIS A	CTION:				
L 3.			s Cited by Examiner, by Applicant, PTO-14				Drawing, PTO-948  Patent Applicati	8. on, Form PTO-152	
5.			to Effect Drawing Cha		6. 🗀 🗕			,	
Part II	ì	SUMMARY OF ACTIO	ION					•	
		/	1 4						
1.		Claims		)	<del> </del>		are pen	ding in the application.	
		Of the above,	, claims				are with	ndrawn from consideration.	٠.
2.		Claims					have be	en cancelled.	
3.		Claims		·			are allo	wed.	
4.		Claims	1-5			,	are reje	cted.	
5.		Claims					are obje	ected to.	
6.		Claims				are sub	ject to restriction	or election requirement.	
7.		This application has matter is indicated.	s been filed with inform	nal drawings which	are acceptable fo	or examination	purposes until suc	h time as allowable subje	ct
8.			atter having been indi	cated, formal drawin	ngs are required i	n response to t	this Office action.		
9.	_	The corrected or sub	stitute drawings have (see explanation).	been received on	<u></u>	The	se drawings are	acceptable;	
10.		The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).							
11.		The proposed drawing correction, filed, has been approved disapproved (see explanation). However, the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now applicant's responsibility to ensure that the drawings are corrected. Corrections MUST be effected in accordance with the instructions set forth on the attached letter "INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.							
12.		Acknowledgment is r	made of the claim for (	priority under 35 U.S	S.C. 119. The ce	rtified copy ha	s been receiv	ved not been receive	d
			arent application, seria					<del></del>	_·
13.			on appears to be in cor practice under Ex par				secution as to the	merits is closed in	
14		Other					A AGEN	ici	اهس
14. PTOL	-326 (	Other (Rev. 7 - 82)		EXAMIN	This SEC Una ER's Ac <b>res</b>	s document RECY ARI Marized : Criminal S	LR, as define Disclosures of Canctions SITE	information of the state of the state of the civil	8. WC1
							-		

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Art Unit 222

l. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Steudel in view of Torby or Grabowski et al. To reiterate, Steudel teaches the claimed phase amplitude monopulse radar antenna method and structure including phased array antenna 22, feed means, and phase shift means 34. Obviously the upper and lower regions of the array antenna 2 can be set in "oppositely disposed directions" in view of antennas 1-4 of Torby or 16 and 17 of Grabowski et al.
- 3. The above cited antennas of Torby and Grabowski et al are "physofially set in oppositely disposed directions". To merely substitute one monopulse radar antenna for another is obvious.
- 4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

Art Unit 222

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Theodore Blum at telephone number 703-557-4923.

Theodore Blum/mb 7-07-86

THEODORE M. BLUM
EXAMINER
30UP ART UNIT 222